

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,568	12/12/2003	Satoshi Kojima	- 03500.017762. 8616		
5514	7590 07/03/2007	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			DOTE, JANIS L		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1756		
•	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)  KOJIMA ET AL.	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn				
Lxammer-indated interview dumin	Examiner	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Janis L. Dote	1756	
All Participants:	7	Status of Application:	·	
(1) <u>Janis L. Dote</u> .		(3)		
(2) Mr. Scott Malpede (Reg. No. 32,533).		(4)		·
Date of Interview: 26 June 2007		Time: <u>4:15 PM</u>		
		's representative)		•
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	] No			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: None				
Prior art documents discussed: None				·
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See the attached	HE GENERA	L NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSE	ED:
Part III.				
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The e separate rec	xaminer will provide a word of the substance of	vritten summary the interview, si	of the substance nce the interview
		,		. •
Jane L. Dote				
	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,568

Art Unit: 1756

The examiner informed Mr. Malpede that the response filed on May 23, 2007, was not responsive to all the issues raised in the "Notice of a non-responsive amendment to a Quayle action" mailed on Apr. 23, 2007. Applicants' response did not address the objection the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) at pages 2-4 of the notice. It was agreed that Mr. Malpede would duly file a supplemental response to address the objection.

Page 2